

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6053
10/690,511			Shiro Miyamori	031192	
23850	7590	06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
	•	ATZ, QUINTOS, I	ROSENBAUM, IRENE CUDA		
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT		20006	3726	-	

**DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/690,511	MIYAMORI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
			Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum	3726				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (7) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s per to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period wi y will, by statute, a	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 13 Ap	ril 2004.					
·			action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	· ·							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 9-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  ) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
		<u></u>	The state of the s	77 total of 10 mm 1 to 102.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group II in Paper No. 4132004 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4132004.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 9-16 are dependent on withdrawn claims.

Claims 9-16 must be rewritten in independent form.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(clearly anticipated) as being clearly anticipated by JP06-058325.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,511

Art Unit: 3726

The method by which the article is made does not change the ultimate product.

The product which results from the JP method is the same as that claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'325 as explained above and further in view of Hirayama (2002/0128138). Jp '325 teaches the roller essentially as claimed but lacks a teaching of using silica as a filler. Hirayama teaches an electrically conductive roller including silica as a filler in the electrically conductive layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the roller as taught by JP'325 by using silica in the conductive layer since to do so is old and well known in the art, as taught by Hirayama, for the purpose of including a filler.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3726

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 308-1148. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ICR** 

JUNI